

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|--|-----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|--|
| 09/576,021 | 05/23/2000 | Bahadir Erimli | 95-311 | 4392 | |
| 20736 | 7590 01/11/2006 | | EXAM | EXAMINER | |
| MANELLI DENISON & SELTER 2000 M STREET NW SUITE 700 | | | JONES, PR | JONES, PRENELL P | |
| WASHINGTON, DC 20036-3307 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | • | | 2668 | | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 01/11/2006 | DATE MAILED: 01/11/2006 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | A | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|--|--|--|
| Office Action Summary | | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | |
| | | 09/576,021 | ERIMLI ET AL. | | | |
| | | Examiner | Art Unit | | | |
| | | Prenell P. Jones | 2668 | | | |
| | The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | | | | |
| WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE is under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. I period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION (6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim (ii) apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONET | L. ely filed the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | |
| Status | | | | | | |
| 1)⊠ | Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 Oc | <u>ctober 2005</u> . | | | | |
| • —— | This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final. | | | | | |
| 3)□ | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is | | | | | |
| | closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | |
| Dispositi | on of Claims | | | | | |
| 4)🖂 | 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> is/are pending in the application. | | | | | |
| | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | |
| 5) | 5) Claim(s) <u>12-17</u> is/are allowed. | | | | | |
| 6) | Claim(s) 1 is/are rejected. | | | | | |
| • | Claim(s) <u>2-11</u> is/are objected to. | | | | | |
| 8)[_] | Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or | election requirement. | | | | |
| Applicati | on Papers | | | | | |
| 9) | The specification is objected to by the Examine | r. | | | | |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | | | |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | | |
| Priority u | ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: | | | | | | |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage | | | | | | |
| application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | | | | | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Attachmen | t(s) | _ | | | | |
| | e of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da | | | | |
| 3) Infor | e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date | | atent Application (PTO-152) | | | |

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-17 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 3. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shah (US PAT 6,795,860) in view of Kornaros et al (IEEE, Vol. 19, Issue 1, Jan.-Feb. 1999, pgs. 30-41).

Regarding claim 1, Shah discloses selecting services as associated in a packet switching environment wherein predetermined services are user-selected based user selected attributes of packet data/data frames as it is associated with preferred routing/priority switching at ports

Application/Control Number: 09/576,021 Page 3

Art Unit: 2668

(Abstract, col. 13, lines 18-26, col. 14, line 2-15). However, Shah fails to teach or suggest a single chip switch. In analogous art, Kornaros discloses (pages 30-39) a single-chip ATM switch. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to be motivated to implement a single chip switch as taught by Kornaros with the teachings of Shah for the purpose of minimizing the delay with respect to communicating data packets in a communication system, and at the same time miniaturizing communication device.

- 1. Claims 12-17 are allowed over prior art.
- Claims 2-11 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 3. Although the combined cited art teach an integrated switching system that includes switching packets/resources between devices and switching policies, they fail to teach/suggest a plurality switch ports wherein each switch port includes a port filter configured for determining a presence of a user-selected attribute in a received layer 2 data frame and outputting a signal indicating the determined presence of the user-selected attribute within the data frame by one of the network switch ports having received frame, a switching module configured for identifying a presence of an output port for each data frame based on at least one of a MAC source address and MAC destination address, and notifying by the one network switch port the detected presence of the user-selected attribute to the switching module/ is absent from the art. Claims 9 and 10 depend on claim 8, therefore, claims 9 and 10 are objected to as well.

Application/Control Number: 09/576,021 Page 4

Art Unit: 2668

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Prenell P. Jones whose telephone number is 571-272-3180. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chi Pham can be reached on 571-272-3179. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Prenell P. Jones

January 7, 2006

CHI PHAM
PERVISORY PATENT EXAMIP